UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,731	02/19/2008	Bakulesh Mafatlal Khamar	21059/0206949-us0	8375
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 05/04/201 ¹ RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	tation	SWARTZ, RODNEY P		
Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/583,731	KHAMAR ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
Period for Reply	LV IO OET TO EVEIDE AMONTU	VOLOR THURTY (OO) BAYO		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1Fe</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ Th 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-21,23,24 and 29 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23,24 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)	, 	(070,440)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4)	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/583,731 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' Response to Office Action, received 1 February 2010, is acknowledged. Claim 3 has been amended. Claims 22, 25 and 26 have been cancelled.

2. Claims 1-21, 23, 24 and 29 are pending and under consideration.

Rejections/Objections Moot or Withdrawn

- 3. The rejection of claims 3 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for "comprises", is withdrawn in light of the amendment of the claims.
- 4. The objection of claim 22 under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1 is most in light of the cancellation of the claim.
- 5. The objection of claim 25 under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1 is most in light of the cancellation of the claim.
- 6. The objection of claim 26 under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1 is most in light of the cancellation of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for utilizing *Mycobacterium w* as a adjuvant with an antigen, does not reasonably provide enablement for composition of *Mycobacterium w* and an unidentified antigen which prevent all diseases in mammals (claim 23) or decrease morbidity and mortality associated with all disease (claim 24). The specification does not enable any

Art Unit: 1645

person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Page 3

Enablement requires that the specification teach those in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation include (1) the nature of the invention, (2) the state of the prior art, (3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, (4) the amount of direction or guidance present, (5) the presence or absence of working examples, (6) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (7) the relative skill of those in the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

The nature of the invention – compositions of *Mycobacterium w* and an unidentified antigen which prevent all diseases in mammals (claim 23) or decrease morbidity and mortality associated with all disease (claim 24).

The state of the prior art indicates that the instant inventors are the originators of utilizing *Mycobacterium w* as an immunomodulator (WO02/056898A2, 25July2002). However, the prior art does not teach *Mycobacterium w* as an adjuvant coupled with an antigen. Thus, there is a lack of predictability in the art that *Mycobacterium w* as an adjuvant coupled with any antigen will result in prevention of all diseases in mammals or in decreased morbidity and mortality associated with all diseases.

The amount of direction/guidance/working examples present in the instant specification is insufficient support for the broad scope of the instant claims, i.e., prevention of all diseases or decreased morbidity and mortality associated with all diseases. The only examples provided utilized *Mycobacterium w* coupled with either rabies vaccine or coupled with Hepatitis B vaccine.

Therefore, the quantity of experimentation necessary to determine if compositions of *Mycobacterium w* coupled with any antigen can prevent diseases or decrease morbidity and mortality associated with disease in those situations wherein said antigen has no identity with said diseas constitutes merely an invitation to experiment without a reasonable expectation of success.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bakulesh et al (WO02/056898A2, 25 July 2002).

Claim 29 is drawn to *Mycobacterium w* and/or a constituent thereof as an adjuvant to an antigen.

Thus, the only component of claim 29 is *Mycobacterium w* and/or a constituent thereof.

Bakulesh et al teach compositions consisting of *Mycobacterium w* and/or constitutents thereof (Abstract; Examples 1-7).

Conclusion

- 9. Claims 23, 24, and 29 are rejected.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., Art Unit 1645, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM EST. Thursday is the examiner's work at home day.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,731 Page 5

Art Unit: 1645

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the Examiner's Supervisor, Robert B. Mondesi (571)272-0956.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645

May 3, 2010